

Physics-Informed Neural Networks for Simulating Open Quantum Systems

S. M. Pillay^{1,3}, I. Sinayskiy^{2,3}, E. Jembere¹, and F. Petruccione^{3,4}

¹School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4001, South Africa

²School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4001, South Africa

³National Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (NITheCS), Stellenbosch, South Africa

⁴School of Data Science and Computational Thinking, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch 7604, South Africa

In the rapidly evolving field of quantum computing, the study of open quantum systems remains crucial. Understanding the dynamics of these systems drives the development of better quantum devices, error mitigation and error correction strategies. An open quantum system can be represented by a density matrix that evolves according to the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad master equation [1, 2]. When working with continuous-variable open quantum systems, it is beneficial to transform the master equation into a partial differential equation describing the evolution of a quasi-probability distribution [3]. In this work, we make use of the Husimi Q-Function representation that evolves according to Fokker-Planck equations. Using this representation, we are able to simulate open quantum systems using state-of-the-art physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) [4, 5]. PINNs provide a powerful alternative to traditional numerical approaches for solving differential equations such as finite difference and finite element schemes. Unlike these traditional approaches, PINNs do not require costly evaluations on fine grids and can incorporate experimental data. In this work, we train PINNs to solve several equations that govern the dynamics of the Husimi Q-Function. We evaluate the performance of several architectures for PINNs and compare the obtained solutions to analytical solutions where possible. We further demonstrate the effectiveness of the physics-informed neural networks by estimating observables, including the average photon number and average displacement.

References

- [1] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, “Completely positive dynamical semigroups of n-level systems,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 821–825, 1976.
- [2] G. Lindblad, “On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 48, pp. 119–130, 1976.
- [3] H. J. Carmichael, *Statistical methods in quantum optics 1: master equations and Fokker-Planck equations*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [4] I. E. Lagaris, A. Likas, and D. I. Fotiadis, “Artificial neural networks for solving ordinary and partial differential equations,” *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 987–1000, 1998.
- [5] M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, and G. E. Karniadakis, “Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations,” *Journal of Computational physics*, vol. 378, pp. 686–707, 2019.