

Stabilizer Tensor Networks with Magic State Injection

- A. C. Nakhl¹*, B. Harper^{1,2}, M. West¹, N. Dowling³, M. Sevior¹, T. Quella⁴, M. Usman^{1,2,3}
- ¹School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, 3010, VIC, Australia
- 2 Data61, CSIRO, Clayton, 3168, VIC, Australia
- ³School of Physics & Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia
- ⁴School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, 3010, VIC, Australia
- *a.nakhl@student.unimelb.edu.au

IBM Quantum Network Hub at the University of Melbourne

Abstract

- Magic State Injection Augmented Stabilizer Tensor Networks (MAST) [1] is an augmentation of the Stabilizer Tensor Network (STN) simulation method [2].
- STNs are hybrid stabilizer, tensor network simulation method that can simulate highly entangled states with low magic, and highly magical states with low entanglement.
- Magic State Injection is a gadget used in quantum error correction to perform non-Clifford gates using only Cliffords on the data qubits.

The Stabilizer Tensor Network (STN) protocol provides an intriguing new simulation paradigm, combining the advantages of both Stabilizer Tableau and matrixproduct-state (MPS) methods. We augment this method with magic state injection, reporting a new framework with significantly enhanced ability to simulate circuits with an extensive number of non-Clifford operations. Specifically, for random *T*-doped *N*-qubit Clifford circuits the computational cost of circuits prepared with magic state injection scales as $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{poly}(N))$ when the circuit has $t \lesssim NT$ -gates compared to an exponential scaling for the STN approach, which is demonstrated in systems of up to 200 qubits. In the case of the Hidden Bit Shift circuit, a paradigmatic benchmarking system for extended stabilizer methods with a tunable amount of magic, we report that our magic state injected STN framework can efficiently simulate 4000 qubits and 320 *T*-gates.

Quantum Computing with MAST

• We also observe a path dependence with respect to the order that the ancilla qubits are projected — this can most explicitly be seen for simulations of $UU^{\dagger} \, |0\rangle.$

• By using Magic State Injection one can push all the complexity of the simulation to the projective measurement step of the ancilla qubits.

Hidden Bit Shift Circuit

• The Hidden Bit Shift circuit is a paradigmatic circuit for benchmarking circuits with a controllable amount of magic

- There are two different CCZ decompositions considered, one that requires 7 T-gates and and one that requires only 4 *T*-gates but requires two ancilla qubits.
- In the limit of large *N*, MAST outperforms STNs, however both scale exponentially with increasing non-Clifford operations.
- For fixed magic, increasing system size reduces simulation cost.
- MAST scales similarly regardless of the CCZ decomposition used unlike STNs (see Figure 3 of [1]).

T-doped Clifford circuits

• We simulate random *N*-qubit *T*-doped Cliffords of the form

- With MAST the bond-dimension χ of these circuits is bounded by 3 for $t \leq N$ (Region A)
- For the intermediate-depth case (Region B) where $N \lesssim t \lesssim 1.5 N$ there is an exponential increase in bond-dimension, saturating at $\chi=2^{N/2}$

Bond-dimension of random *T***-doped Clifford circuits with MAST**

• In comparison, for standard STNs the bond-dimension increases exponentially in Region A, and is saturated in regions B, C.

0.5 $\overline{0}$ $\overline{2}$ 1.5 t/N

Bond-dimension of random *T***-doped Clifford circuits with STN**

Some Open Questions

- How precisely does the resource cost of the simulation relate to the entanglement and magic of the system being simulated?
- Can MAST or STN be further refined by decomposing multi-qubit non-Clifford gates more efficiently?